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ABSTRACT: We present a data set comprising noise samples collected during 26 sessions of the subject “Technology” of Secondary Education studies with a mobile device. The data set includes rich metadata with the aim to facilitate the correlation with further studies, namely, type of session (i.e. traditional face-to-face lecture, collaborative workshop session, individual computer session), the number of students participating in the session, the percentage of male/female students, the mean age of the students, timestamp when the sample was collected, language of the session, country, city and location where it took place. The data is shared in different format to facilitate its management across platforms.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Recent work reviews experimental studies addressing the impact of noise exposure concluding negative effects on speech perception and listening comprehension in the classroom. Indoor noise and reverberation in classroom settings are associated with poorer performance of the children. These effects are more pronounced in children as compared to adults (Klatte, Bergström, & Lachmann, 2013).

However, most of the classrooms are not equipped with sensor technology to warn the students/teacher about how appropriate the actual noise levels are. The trend to use interconnected artefacts (Internet of Things) in organizations as well as in educational settings (Johnson, Brown, Cummins, & Estrada, 2012), and the current status quo in which every student/teacher owns a smartphone, enables the implementation of easy solutions to cover this gap.

Current research investigates how to provide real-time feedback on the levels of noise to moderate suitable levels of noise in classroom towards learning (Tabuenca, Börner, & Specht, n.d.). A mobile device with the Noise Mirror installed in it (See Figure 1) collects the noise samples with the configured frequency (e.g. every second), providing real time feedback, and suitable chart visualizations so these samples can be analysed in the mobile. Alternatively, these samples can be exported to CSV file concluding in datasets analogous to the one presented in this manuscript. These dataset can be later on
analysed with the aim to contrast noise means between different groups or treatments (See Figure 2), evolution of the noise along the session (See Figure 3) or correlate the noise levels with the number of participants, the mean age of the students, the teaching style from the lecturer or the day of the country where the session took place (See Figure 4).

Figure 1: The Noise Mirror. Sampling noise via mobile device

Figure 2: Noise contrasts for different groups and treatments

2 THE DATA SET

This dataset comprises 60612 noise samples collected from 26 sessions (Mean 2331 samples per session) in May 2015 at the secondary school in Spain. A total of 398 students (Mean 14,5 students per session) participated in these sessions from which 49% were female, and the age of the students fluctuated from 13 to 18 years old.

This study aims at identifying how students and teachers change their behavior with regard to the noise level in the classroom, depending on whether they have a visual feedback (Börner, Tabuenca, Storm, Happe, & Specht, 2015) providing information on the current level of noise in the classroom. Hence, this research explores the behavior from both teachers and students, but also measures how accurate are the estimations of perceived noise from teachers and students with respect to the objective measure taken via mobile device.

This dataset can be potentially used for correlation with further groups that differ in:
Mean age of the students.

Number of students participating in the classroom (male/female).

Location. Country/region where the session takes place.

Mobile device used to collect the data. Smartphones are equipped with different microphones.

Time of the day and day of the week when the session takes place.

Teaching style & session type. Noise might be moderated by the teacher that is leading the lecture, the topic of the subject or the type of activities accomplished during the session (e.g. collaborative, individual, cooperative).

2.1 Creator / Owner

The first author of this manuscript used the tool illustrated in Figure 1 to collect and export the samples comprising this dataset.

The dataset has been licensed Open access - Unrestricted access (CC0 Waiver No Rights Reserved - https://creativecommons.org/about/cc0)

![Figure 3: Noise evolution along the session](image1)

![Figure 4: Noise correlation analysis](image2)

2.2 Details

The dataset (Tabuenca, 2015) has been stored in DANS-EASY, a sustainable repository for archiving or research data. It can be accessed using the searching tool of the platform looking for the string “Noise in classrooms data set” or directly downloading it from the following reference:

ISSN 1929-7750 (online). The Journal of Learning Analytics works under a Creative Commons License, Attribution - NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0)

2.3 Format

The data set is available in the standard CSV format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tag</td>
<td>Tag that identifies the session, classroom, treatment or group in which the sample is taken. e.g. [2015_3_16_9_Treatment2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decibels</td>
<td>Decibels collected via mobile device. e.g. [5.352124803]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>Timestamp in milliseconds when the sample was taken. e.g. [1429168968116] would be Thu, 16 Apr 2015 07:22:48 GMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num_students</td>
<td>Number of students participating in the session. e.g. [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num_students_female</td>
<td>Number of female students participating in the session. e.g. [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session_type</td>
<td>Type of session. i.e. traditional face-to-face lecture (“L”), collaborative workshop atelier (“W”), individual computer session (“C”). This field can be aggregated means that the session was split into two activities: lecture and computer activities. e.g. [LC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Language in which the session was held. e.g. [ES]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Town, city, region where the session took place. e.g. [Calatayud; Zaragoza; Spain]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Latitude coordinates where the samples were taken. e.g [41.357734]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Longitude coordinates where the samples were taken. e.g [-1.636562]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device</td>
<td>Device used to collect the noise samples e.g. [Sony Xperia S]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 ETHICAL AND PRIVACY CONSIDERATIONS

The dataset has been anonymised.
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